

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,116	09/27/2000	Jivendra K. Kale	19748-1.10US	7098
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard T. Ogawa			SHIH, SALLY	
TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	09/672,116	KALE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sally Shih	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -/
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	very filed we will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	September 2000.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Amashmans/al		
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	8) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
S Patent and Trademark Office	-,	

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been reviewed. Original claims 1-31 are pending. The objections and rejections are as stated below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rebane (United States Patent Number 6,405,179 B1).

Claim1. Rebane discloses a computer-implemented method of constructing a portfolio having a utility defined by at least a first function and a second function, the computer-implemented method comprising:

selecting a plurality of assets in the portfolio (abstract; fig. 6 and associated text); and maximizing an expected utility of the portfolio (fig. 6 and associated text); wherein the at least first function is a power-utility function having a first power defining the degree of risk aversion of a holder of the portfolio and wherein the at least second function is a power-utility function having a second power defining the degree of risk aversion of the holder of the

Art Unit: 3624

portfolio, wherein the first power is different from the second power (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

- Claim 2. Rebane discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the at least first power-utility function defines the utility of the portfolio for positive rates of returns and wherein the at least second power-utility function defines the utility of the portfolio for negative rates of returns (figs. 7-12 and associated text).
- Claim 3. Rebane discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the at least first power-utility function is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).
- Claim 4. Rebane discloses the method of Claim 2 wherein the at least first power-utility function is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).
- Claim 5. Rebane discloses the method of Claim 4 wherein the act of maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio further comprises the act of selecting a weight for each asset in the portfolio (fig. 8 and associated text).
- Claim 6. Rebane discloses the method of Claim 5 wherein the act of selecting a weight for each asset in the portfolio further comprises:

assigning a probability point to the occurrence of each one of a plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

computing the utility of the portfolio for each economic event (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

multiplying the utility of portfolio computed for each economic event with the probability of the occurrence of that economic event thereby generating a plurality of values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text); and

Art Unit: 3624

summing the values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text).

Claim 7. Rebane discloses the method of Claim 6 wherein the act of assigning a probability point to the occurrence of each one of the plurality of economic events comprises assigning a probability point to the occurrence of each one of the plurality of economic events based on past economic data (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text).

Claim 8. Rebane discloses a computer system for constructing a portfolio having a utility defined by at least a first function and a second function, the computer system comprising:

a processor (fig. 4 and associated text); and

a memory coupled to the processor (figs. 4-5 and associated text), said memory storing a plurality of code modules for execution by the processor, the plurality of code modules comprising:

a code module for selecting a plurality of assets in the portfolio (abstract; fig. 6 and associated text); and

a code module for maximizing an expected utility of the portfolio (fig. 6 and associated text); wherein the at least first function is a power-utility function having a first power defining the degree of risk aversion of a holder of the portfolio and wherein the at least second function is a power-utility function having a second power defining the degree of risk aversion of the holder of the portfolio, wherein the first power is different from the second power (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

Claim 9. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 8, wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function defining positive rates of returns and wherein the code module for maximizing the expected

Art Unit: 3624

utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least second function defining negative rates of returns (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

Claim 10. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 8, wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function that is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).

Claim 11. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 9, wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function that is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).

Claim 12. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 11 wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio further comprises a code module for selecting a weight for each one of the plurality of assets in the portfolio (fig. 8 and associated text).

Claim 13. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 12, wherein the code module for selecting a weight for each one of the plurality of assets in the portfolio further comprises:

code module for assigning a probability point to the occurrence of each one of a plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

code module for computing the utility of the portfolio for each one of the plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text); and

code module for multiplying the utility of the portfolio computed for each one of the plurality of economic events with the probability of the occurrence of that economic event thereby generating a plurality of values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text); and code module for summing the values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text).

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 14. Rebane discloses a computer program for constructing a portfolio having a utility defined by at least a first function and a second function, the computer program being executable by a processor and comprising:

a code module for selecting a plurality of assets in the portfolio (abstract; fig. 6 and associated text); and

a code module for maximizing an expected utility of the portfolio (fig. 6 and associated text); wherein the at least first function is a power-utility function having a first power defining the degree of risk aversion of a holder of the portfolio and wherein the at least second function is a power-utility function having a second power defining the degree of risk aversion of the holder of the portfolio, wherein the first power is different from the second power (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

Claim 15. Rebane discloses the computer program of Claim 14, wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function defining positive rates of returns and wherein the code module for maximizing the expected

utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least second function defining negative rates of returns (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

- Claim 16. Rebane discloses the computer program of Claim 14, wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function that is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).
- Claim 17. Rebane discloses the computer program of Claim 15, wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function that is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 18. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 17 wherein the code module for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio further comprises a code module for selecting a weight for each one of the plurality of assets in the portfolio (fig. 8 and associated text).

Claim 19. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 18, wherein the code module for selecting a weight for each one of the plurality of assets in the portfolio further comprises:

code module for assigning a probability point to the occurrence of each one of a plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

code module for computing the utility of the portfolio for each one of a plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text); and

code module for multiplying the utility of the portfolio computed for each one of the plurality of economic events with the probability of the occurrence of that economic event thereby generating a plurality of values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text); and code module for summing the values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text).

Claim 20. Rebane discloses a networked system for constructing a portfolio having a utility defined by at least a first function and a second function, the networked system comprising:

a communication network (figs. 4-5 and associated text);

a computer system coupled to the communication network (figs. 4-5 and associated text);

a database coupled to the communication network; wherein the computer system is configured to: select a plurality of assets in the portfolio (abstract; fig. 6 and associated text); and maximize an expected utility of the portfolio; wherein the at least first function is a power-utility function having a first power defining the degree of risk aversion of a holder of the portfolio and wherein the at least second function is a power-utility function having a second power defining

Art Unit: 3624

the degree of risk aversion of the holder of the portfolio, wherein the first power is different from the second power (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

Claim 21. Rebane discloses the networked system of Claim 20, wherein the at least first function defines positive rates of returns of the portfolio and wherein the at least second function defines negative rates of returns of the portfolio (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

Claim 22. Rebane discloses the networked system of Claim 20, wherein the at least first function is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).

Claim 23. Rebane discloses the networked system of Claim 21, wherein the at least first function is a log-utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).

Claim 24. Rebane discloses the networked system of Claim 23, wherein the networked system is further configured to select a weight for each asset in the portfolio (fig. 8 and associated text).

Claim 25. Rebane discloses the networked system of Claim 23, wherein the computer system is further configured to:

assign a probability point to the occurrence of each one of a plurality of 4 economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

compute the utility of the portfolio for each one of the plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

multiply the utility of portfolio computed for each economic event with the probability of the occurrence of that economic event thereby generating a plurality of values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text); and sum the values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text).

Art Unit: 3624

nois Control (Validoc). 09/072,11

Claim 26. Rebane discloses a computer program stored on a computer-readable medium for constructing a portfolio having a utility defined by at least a first function and a second function, the computer program comprising:

Page 9

code for selecting a plurality of assets in the portfolio (abstract; fig. 6 and associated text); and

code for maximizing an expected utility of the portfolio (fig. 6 and associated text); wherein the at least first function is a power-utility function having a first power defining the degree of risk aversion of a holder of the portfolio and wherein the at least second function is a power utility function having a second power defining the degree of risk aversion of the holder of the portfolio, wherein the first power is different from the second power (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

Claim 27. Rebane discloses the computer program of Claim 26, wherein the code for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function defining positive rates of returns and wherein the code for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least second function defining negative rates of returns (figs. 7-12 and associated text).

Claim 28. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 26, wherein the code for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function that is a log utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).

Claim 29. Rebane discloses the computer system of Claim 27, wherein the code for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio comprises code for the at least first function that is a log utility function (figs. 9, 12 and associated text).

Application/Control Number: 09/672,116 Page 10

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 30. Rebane discloses the computer program of Claim 29 wherein the code for maximizing the expected utility of the portfolio further comprises code for selecting a weight for each asset in the portfolio (fig. 8 and associated text).

Claim 31. Rebane discloses the computer program of Claim 30, wherein the code for selecting a weight for each asset in the portfolio further comprises:

code for assigning a probability point to the occurrence of each one of a plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

code for computing the utility of the portfolio for each one of the plurality of economic events (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text);

code for multiplying the utility of portfolio computed for each economic event with the probability of the occurrence of that economic event thereby generating a plurality of values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text); and

code for summing the values (abstract; fig. 3 and associated text).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 5,812,987, USPN 5,946,666, USPN 6,003,018, USPN 6,101,484, USPN 6,360,210 B1, USPN 6,601,044 B1 and JP02001312593A are cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sally Shih whose telephone number is 703-305-8550. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible.

Art Unit: 3624

Page 11

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sys

